Student's Name:	Date:
Research Project Title:	
Assessor:	

EVALUATION RUBRIC FOR GRADING POSTGRADUATE PROPOSAL PRESENTATION

CONTENT (70%)		ASSESSMENT	
ABSTRAC	T (10%)	MARK	REMARK (S)
9 – 10	Abstract is written well, complete and covers all the aspects (e.g., background, problem statements, novelty of the study etc.) of the project. Concise, precise (not too long/not too short). Readability (One or fewer error(s) on grammar, punctuation, spelling etc.)		
8 – 6	Abstract is good and covers up to 70% the aspects of the project. Partially concise, precise. Readability (three or more errors on grammar, punctuation, spelling etc.)		
5 – 4	 FAIR TO POOR Some overview are given but do not cover all the aspects of the project. Overall readability is somewhat unclear (errors on grammar, punctuation, spelling etc.) 		
1 – 3	VERY POOR Abstract is poorly written and cumbersome to read.		
INTRODU	CTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW (20%)		
9 – 10	 Provides thorough background of the topic of interest and has fully established relationship with past works in the field. Reinforces main points in a memorable fashion. Presentation is organized and flowed well. 		

	GOOD TO AVERAGE	
8 – 6	 Provides sufficient background of the topic of interest and has partly established relationship with past works in the field. Presentation is partially organized and flowed. 	
5 – 4	 FAIR TO POOR Provides some overview of the topic of interest. Unable to establish relationship with past works in the field. Presentation is somewhat organized and flowed. 	
1 – 3	 VERY POOR Provides brief overview Presentation is not organized and flowed to an extent that it confuses the audience. 	
PROBLEM STATEMENTS/HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES (20%)		
9 – 10	EXCELLENCE TO VERY GOOD Explanation of key concepts, problem statements and objectives are accurate and complete. Well defined objectives.	
8 – 6	For the most part, explanations of problem statement and objectives are accurate, related and complete.	
5 – 4	FAIR TO POOR Explanation of problem statements and objectives are inaccurate, incomplete or not related.	
1 – 3	 VERY POOR No connection between problem statements and objectives. Objectives are poorly presented. 	
MATERIALS AND METHODS (20%)		
9 – 10	 Provides clear contents of the proposed methods and understanding of the overall project. Design of experiment is shown clearly. 	
8 – 6	Provides clear contents of the proposed methods but somehow has shown lack of	

	understanding on the proposed methods • Design of experiment is somewhat shown.	
5 – 4	Provides fairly contents of the proposed methods and somehow has shown a very limited understanding of the overall approach of the project.	
1 – 3	 VERY POOR Provides brief overview of the proposed methods. 	
	PRESENTATION (30%)	
DELIVERY	(10%)	
9 – 10	 Poised, clear articulation; proper volume; steady rate; enthusiasm; confidence; Presenter is clearly comfortable in front of the audience. Correct, precise pronunciation of terms. Words are chosen for their precise meaning. 	
8 – 6	 GOOD TO AVERAGE Clear articulation but not as polished; slightly uncomfortable at times. Presenter pronounces most words correctly. With a few exceptions, words are chosen for their precise meaning. 	
5 – 4	 FAIR TO POOR Audience occasionally has trouble hearing and following the presentation Presenter seems uncomfortable. Presenter incorrectly pronounces terms. Some sentences are incomplete/ halting, and/or vocabulary is somewhat limited or inappropriate. 	
1 – 3	Presenter is obviously anxious and cannot be heard or monotone with little or no expression. Presenter mumbles and/or pronounces terms incorrectly. Very difficult to follow.	
KNOWLED	KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING (10%)	
9 – 10	Demonstrates extensive knowledge of the topic by responding confidently, precisely and	

	appropriately to assessor(s) and/or audience's questions.	
8 – 6	Demonstrates knowledge of the topic by responding accurately and appropriately to assessor(s) and/or audience's questions. At ease with answers to all questions but fails to elaborate.	
5 – 4	PAIR TO POOR Demonstrates some knowledge of rudimentary questions by responding accurately to assessor(s) and/or audience's questions.	
1 – 3	VERY POOR Demonstrates incomplete knowledge of the topic by responding inaccurately and inappropriately to assessor(s) and/or audience's questions.	
AUDIENCE	-FRIENDLY PRESENTATION (10%)	
9 – 10	 EXCELLENCE TO VERY GOOD Maintains eye contact; seldom returning to notes. Presentation is like a planned conversation. Audience do enjoy the presentation. 	
8 – 6	Maintains eye contact most of the time but frequently returns to notes.	
5 – 4	 FAIR TO POOR Maintains some eye contact. Half the time reads from slides. 	
1 – 3	 VERY POOR Lack or no eye contact. Reads all or most parts from slides. Mundane. 	

PASSING MARK IS ≥60

PLEASE LEAVE COMMENTS ON WHY THE CANDIDATE SHOULD PASS OR FAIL		